

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/612,898	07/07/2003	Richard Merrill	45446/043679	4327	
30472 75	90 01/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTHONY D. PELLEGRINI RUDMAN & WINCHELL, LLC			NGO, LIEN M		
84 HARLOW S	TREET				
P.O. BOX 1401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BANGOR, ME	04402-1401		3727		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/10/2004	•	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	ì
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/612,898	MERRILL, RICHARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LIEN TM NGO	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the significant of the period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 August 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by t the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rrection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appli priority documents have been rec reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		,	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE 			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/612,898 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, claims 1-6 and 9 in the reply filed on 8/19/04 is acknowledged. Claims 7 and 8 have been withdrawn from consideration as being non-elected claims.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "In" in the beginning of the claims, before "a hollow", should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Unsworth (Pub.US 2004/238477). Unsworth discloses, in figs.1 and 3-5, a container comprising a removable membranous sealing device having a sealing component 3 and a tab component 4 with a gripping end 4b, a sleeve 5 fitting snugly about the neck of the container, wherein the tab component is folded back upon the sealing component.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,898 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unsworth in view of Han (5,372,268). Unsworth does not disclose the membranous seal comprising multiple layers.

Han teaches a membranous seal for a container comprising multiple layers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Unsworth membranous seal comprising multiple layers, as taught by Han, in order to provide a suitable strength for the seal.

- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unsworth. Although Unsworth does not disclose the membranous seal comprising two crimps as claimed, it is well known in the art that crimps are provide in a membranous material to facilitate the folding of the material. Therefore, it would be obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Unsworth membranous seal with two crimps as claimed in order to facilitate of the folding back of the tab component upon the sealing component.
- 8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unsworth in view of Ramsey (Des. 362,185) and Arthur (Des. 200,884). Unsworth

further disclose, in page 3, paragraph [0037], the seal pad may include peel-off top where only a portion of the top is removed.

Ramsey teaches sealing a sealing component comprising a first break line and a second breaking line and notches located adjacent to the first ends of the first and second breaking lines. Arthur teaches a sealing component having first and second break lines are diverging.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions was made to the Unsworth sealing component having breaking lines as claimed, as taught by Ramsey and Arthur, in order to facilitate the tearing of the sealing component for providing a dispensing opening for the container.

9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unsworth in view of Baltzley (1,744,026). Unsworth does not disclose the sealing device comprising multiple membranous seals joined together in a continuous length.

Baltzley teaches, in fig. 3, a sealing device comprising multiple membranous seals joined together in a continuous length, as claimed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the sealing device of Unsworth with multiple membranous seals joined together in a continuous length, as taught by Baltzley, in order to facilitate of the capping of the container production.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 3727

4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

January 6, 2004

LIEN M. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER

LIEN M. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER